Case 3:09-cv-05979-WHA Document 21 Filed 05/18/10 Page 1 of 5

- 1	l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1	Susan J. Olson, SBN 152467		
2	E-Mail: susan.olson@bullivant.com C. Todd Norris, SBN 181337		
E-Mail: todd.norris@bullivant.com BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC			
4	601 California Street, Suite 1800 San Francisco, California 94108		
5	Telephone: 415.352.2700 Facsimile: 415.352.2701		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff Board of Trustees of the		
7	Laborers Pension Trust Fund for Northern California		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9			
10	T(ORTHERE) BISTREET OF CITED OF CITED		
		C N 2.00 05050 WHA	
11	BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LABORERS PENSION TRUST FUND FOR	Case No.: 3:09-cv-05979-WHA	
12	NORTHERN CALIFORNIA,	SEPARATE CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT; REQUEST FOR	
13	Plaintiff,	CONTINUANCE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;	
14	VS.	ORDER CONTINUING CMC	
15 16	ROBERTO PASTRAN, JR., an individual, and RAMON PASTRAN a.k.a. RAYMOND PASTRAN, an individual,	Date: May 27, 2010 Time: 11:00 a.m. Location: Courtroom 9, 19 th Flr.	
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	Pursuant to the Clerk's Notice Scheduling Initial Case Management Conference On		
20	Reassignment, Plaintiff hereby submits the following Separate Case Management Conference		
21	Statement:		
22	1. Jurisdiction and Service		
23			
24	Has Not Responded To	The Complaint	
25	Defendant Roberto Pastran, Jr. (now deceased) was served on January 5, 2010. Upon		
26	learning that Roberto Pastran, Jr. had passed away, plaintiff dismissed him from the lawsuit.		
27	Plaintiff does not presently intend to pursue his estate, heirs or successors in interest, but		
28	reserves its right to do so upon discovery of facts warranting same.		
	12547191.1		
,	•		

8 | ("1

The only remaining defendant to this action, Ramon Pastran a.k.a. Raymond Pastran, was added to the lawsuit by way of an amended complaint on March 2, 2010. Ramon Pastran was only recently located by Plaintiff and served with the complaint by substitute service on April 17, 2010. Plaintiff's process server was informed by Ramon Pastran's live-in caregiver, Ruby Ochoa, that Mr. Pastran is in the hospital. As of May 10, 2010 (the last day for Mr. Pastran to respond to the complaint), no response to the complaint had been filed.

Under the circumstances, rather than immediately move for a default, Plaintiff wrote to both Mr. Pastran and to his live-in caregiver on May 14, 2010, requesting that Mr. Pastran either respond to the complaint or otherwise contact Plaintiff's counsel by May 21, 2010. If Plaintiff's counsel does not hear from Mr. Pastran by May 21, 2010, counsel will request that the court enter a default against Mr. Pastran.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court continue the case management conference for approximately 60 days, which will give the parties adequate time to meet and confer, in the event that is necessary, prior to filing a Joint Case Management Statement. Alternatively, a brief continuance will give plaintiff sufficient time to have a default entered and a request for judgment filed.

b. Jurisdiction and Venue

Jurisdiction, venue and personal jurisdiction are proper. This court has original jurisdiction over the claims alleged herein under Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1001 et seq., and more specifically, ERISA § 502(e)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(1). Plaintiff purports to bring the action as a fiduciary under ERISA §502(a)(3)(B)(ii) to enforce the terms of an ERISA plan. Venue lies in the Northern District of California pursuant to ERISA § 502(e)(2), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(e)(2) because the subject ERISA plan is administered in this district.

2. Facts

This is a reimbursement action brought by plaintiff the Board of Trustees for the Laborers Pension Trust Fund for Northern California (Trust Fund) on behalf of an ERISA plan ("Plan") seeking reimbursement of overpayment of retirement benefits from defendant Ramon

1	Pastran a.k.a. Raymond Pastran who, on information and belief, continued to receive his father's		
2	retirement benefits for several years after his father had passed away.		
3	Principal Factual Issue: The Trust Fund contends that under ERISA and under the		
4	terms of the Plan, the Trust Fund is entitled to restitution and to be reimbursed for the		
5	overpayment of the benefits in excess of \$68,000, which, on information and belief, Ramon		
6	Pastran has converted to his own use.		
7	3. Legal Issues		
8	Whether the Trust Fund is entitled to restitution of the overpayment of benefits to		
9	Ramon Pastran, who, on information and belief, purported to be Roberto Pastran, Sr. (deceased),		
10	so that he could continue to receive and to negotiate Roberto Pastran, Sr.'s retirement checks		
11	after Roberto Pastran, Sr. had passed away in 2003.		
12	4. Motions		
13	Plaintiff anticipates the possibility of a motion for summary judgment.		
14	5. Amendment of Pleadings		
15	None anticipated.		
16	6. Evidence Preservation		
17	Plaintiff anticipates that it will need to subpoena documents from check cashing		
18	institutions as well as notaries who notarized statements signed by Roberto Pastran, Sr. after he		
19	was deceased.		
20	7. Disclosures		
21	Will take place per code following service of the summon and complaint on defendant.		
22	8. Discovery		
23	Plaintiff anticipates discovery in this case will be completed within 8 months. There is		
24	no need for modification to the discovery rules.		
25	9. Class Actions		
26	Not applicable.		
27	*///		
28			
	3		

Related Cases 1 10. 2 None. 3 11. Relief Plaintiff contends that it is entitled to reimbursement, damages and restitution according 4 5 to proof but in an amount no less than \$68,183.50 plus interest, profits, attorneys' fees and costs. 12. **Settlement ADR** 6 7 The Trust Fund remains open to the possibility of a settlement, but expects that it will be 8 required to pursue this matter to judgment. Consent to Magistrate For All Purposes 9 13. 10 The parties do not consent to a magistrate judge. 11 14. Other References The parties do not believe the case is suitable for other references. 12 13 15. Narrowing of Issues The issues are relatively narrow in that plaintiff must simply establish that defendant 14 continued to unlawfully negotiate Roberto Pastran, Sr.'s retirement checks after Pastran, Sr. 15 16 passed away. 17 **16. Expedited Schedule** 18 N/A 19 17. **Scheduling** 20 Plaintiff suggests discovery dates be determined at a subsequent case management 21 conference, after Ramon Pastran has responded to the complaint. 22 18. Trial 23 Three-day court trial. 24 19. Disclosure of Non-Party Interested Entities or Persons 25 The parties have no such entities or persons to disclose. 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

SEPARATE CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT - Case No. 3:09-cv-05979-WHA

20. **Other Matters** None. DATED: May 18, 2010 BULLIVANT HOUSER BAILEY PC By /s/ C. Todd Norris Susan J. Olson C. Todd Norris Attorneys for Plaintiff Board of Trustees of the Laborers Pension Trust Fund for Northern California **ORDER** The case management conference is hereby **CONTINUED** to June 22, 2010, at 11:00 a.m. Please file a joint statement at least seven days prior. Dated: May 18, 2010.

SEPARATE CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT - Case No. 3:09-cv-05979-WHA

Case 3:09-cv-05979-WHA Document 21 Filed 05/18/10 Page 5 of 5